



Rosalia Myttas-Perris.

Year of call Call:

Barrister • 2018

clerks@crucible.law


Practice profile:

Rosalia has a busy practice specialising predominantly in criminal defence and professional discipline.

Rosalia has been instructed in cases involving serious violence, firearms, drugs, child sex offences and fraud. She has appeared in the Court of Appeal where she successfully secured a reduction in sentence.

In her regulatory practice, Rosalia represents professionals accused of misconduct involving dishonesty, sexual misconduct and fraud, before regulators including the General Medical Council, Nursing and Midwifery Council, the Health and Care Professions Council, the British Association for Counselling and Psychotherapy and Social Work England. Rosalia has also appeared in the First Tier Tribunal (Health, Education and Social Care Chamber) in an appeal against a decision by Ofsted to cancel the registration of a childcare provider

Rosalia previously completed a secondment with Blake Morgan LLP as a Case Manager in their Professional Regulatory department. Prior to starting pupillage, Rosalia was a paralegal in the financial crime department of Hickman and Rose LLP. She has also volunteered as an Appropriate Adult in Westminster, at the Centre for Criminal Appeals (now



Present and Recent Instructions

- Rosalia has represented several former female prison officers charged with misconduct in public office, due to inappropriate relationships with prisoners. Rosalia has been successful in securing suspended sentences for this offence.
- Inquest touching upon the death of RN. Represented a former Officer Support Grade at HMP Bedford at this Article 2 Inquest. Rosalia's client was on duty the night that the deceased committed suicide and failed to properly check their cell when conducting a count. No adverse findings were made against Rosalia's client.
- R v CN – Representing a client charged

Appeal) and at Advocate (formerly the Bar Pro Bono Unit).

Rosalia is a contributor to the chapter on Terrorism in Archbold Criminal Pleading, Evidence and Practice 2023 edition.

- with possession of a firearm and ammunition with intent to endanger life.
- R v DM – Relying on psychiatric evidence, secured a special verdict of ‘not guilty by reason of insanity’.
- HCPC – Represented a physiotherapist charged with having mental health conditions which impaired their fitness to practice. Following cross-examination of the HCPC’s expert psychiatric witness, the panel found the facts not proved.
- R v DR – represented at trial a client charged with making hundreds of indecent images of children of categories A, B and C.
- Manchester City Council v DW – Represented a client charged with multiple offences of breach of food hygiene regulations.
- NMC v VB – Represented a nurse alleged to have physically and verbally assaulted a patient and failed to recognise their deteriorating health. Successful submission of no case to answer on the disputed charges and no impairment was found.
- R v LB – Represented one of three clients charged with two counts of perverting the course of justice. The offence involved significant planning and included interfering with an ongoing criminal trial for a serious offence. Secured a suspended sentence.
- R v TD – On the first day of trial successfully negotiated with the prosecution to accept a plea of common assault as an acceptable alternative to s.18 wounding with intent.
- GMC v Dr AM – Represented a doctor facing serious sexual misconduct allegations. Client cleared of 17 allegations and no misconduct was found.

Qualifications

- Bar Professional Training Course, City University (2018)
- Politics, Philosophy and Law LLB (Hons),

Scholarships and Awards

- Ann Felicity Goddard Scholar, Gray's Inn (2020)
- Denise Pannick Scholar, Gray's Inn (2018)
- BPP Advocacy Award (not taken up) (2017)

Languages

- Greek

